

Transport properties of quantum chains at finite temperature: the elusive Drude weight

Andreas Klümper University of Wuppertal



- Heisenberg spin chain
- thermal and spin transport

Drude weight at zero frequency in dynamical conductivity

 thermodynamics of Heisenberg spin chain: 3 different, but equivalent sets of NLIEs quantum chain ↔ 2d vertex model

Y-system ('magnons'), *A*-system ('spinons')

• finite temperature spin Drude weight, different works:

numerical: Density matrix RG (DMRG), exact diagonalization

rigorous: Mazur inequality, symmetries, matrix product operators

analytical: Bethe ansatz, TBA, bosonization, conformal perturbation theory

collaborators: K. Sakai

Support by Volkswagen Foundation, DFG, JSPS



Spin-1/2 Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \left(S_k^x S_{k+1}^x + S_k^y S_{k+1}^y + \Delta S_k^z S_{k+1}^z \right)$$

$$-1 < \Delta < 1$$
 critical phase (parameterization $\Delta = \cos \gamma$)

- $\Delta < -1$ gapped ferromagnetic phase
 - $1 < \Delta$ gapped antiferromagnetic phase

Formulation as lattice gas of spinless fermions

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \left(c_k^{\dagger} c_{k+1} + c_{k+1}^{\dagger} c_k \right) + 2\Delta \sum_{k=1}^{L} n_k n_{k+1}$$



Thermal conductivity κ relates thermal current \mathcal{J}_E to gradient ∇T : $\mathcal{J}_E = \kappa \nabla T$ Chain and ladder compounds



Kubo Theory Calculation from 2-pt-fcts $\kappa(\omega) = \frac{\beta}{L} \int_0^\infty dt \, e^{-i\omega t} \int_0^\beta d\tau \langle \mathcal{I}_E(-t-i\tau)\mathcal{I}_E \rangle$

Simplification for Heisenberg chain: current is conserved $[H, \mathcal{I}_E] = 0$:

$$\kappa(\omega) = \frac{1}{i(\omega - i\epsilon)} \frac{\beta^2}{L} \langle \mathcal{I}_E^2 \rangle, \quad (\epsilon \to 0+) \qquad \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \kappa(\omega) = \pi D_{\text{th}} \delta(\omega) \qquad D_{\text{th}} = \frac{\beta^2}{L} \langle \mathcal{I}_E^2 \rangle$$

Thermal conductivity of XXZ chain at zero frequency is infinite!



Thermal Drude weight D_{th} (in units of J^2)



(critical) $\Delta = \cos \gamma = 0, \ 0.5, \ 0.707, \ 0.809, \ 0.866, \ 1$ Wiedemann-Franz law $D_{\rm th}/D_{\rm s} \simeq \frac{2}{3}\pi(\pi - \gamma)T$

(AK, K. Sakai 2002)



defining expression for $j^{\rm E}$ from continuity equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h = -{
m div}\,j^{\rm E}$

on lattice:
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_{k,k+1} = -(j_{k+1}^{\mathrm{E}} - j_{k}^{\mathrm{E}})$$

difference equation for spin-1/2 Heisenberg is satisfied by

 $j_k^{\mathrm{E}} = \mathrm{i}[h_{k-1k}, h_{kk+1}]$

Lüscher 76, Tsvelik 90, Frahm 92, Grabowski et al. 94/95, Zotos et al. 97, Rácz 00

Heisenberg chain: total energy current $\mathcal{J}_{E} = \sum_{k} j_{k}^{E}$ conserved ($[\mathcal{J}_{E}, H] = 0$) Proof:

- direct, or

 $-\mathcal{J}_{\rm E}$ equals 2nd logarithmic derivative of transfer matrix of six-vertex model.



Thermodynamical Bethe Ansatz (Yang+Yang 69, Gaudin 71, Takahashi 71): combinatorial, free energy functional, ∞ -many auxiliary functions Y_j , j = 1, 2, 3...

$$\ln Y_{1}(v) = -\beta \frac{\frac{\pi}{2}}{\cosh \frac{\pi}{2}v} + \mathbf{s} * \ln(1+Y_{2})$$

$$\ln Y_{j}(v) = \mathbf{s} * [\ln(1+Y_{j-1}) + \ln(1+Y_{j+1})], \quad j \ge 2$$

where * denotes convolutions and s is the function

$$\mathbf{s}(v) := \frac{1}{4\cosh \pi v/2}.$$

asymptotical behaviour $\lim_{j\to\infty} \ln Y_j(v)/j = \beta h$ free energy per lattice site

$$\beta f = \beta e - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{s}(v) \ln(1 + Y_1(v)) dv.$$

From TBA to *Y*-system: Zamolodchikov 90 from *T*-system to *Y*-system and TBA: AK, Pearce 92

two auxiliary functions \mathfrak{a} , $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$

$$\log \mathfrak{a}(v) = +\frac{\beta h}{2} - \beta e(v+i) + \kappa * [\log(1+\mathfrak{a}) - \log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}})],$$

$$\log \overline{\mathfrak{a}}(v) = -\frac{\beta h}{2} - \beta e(v-i) + \kappa * [\log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) - \log(1+\mathfrak{a})].$$

where e(v) and the kernel $\kappa(v)$ take the form

$$e(v) := \frac{\frac{\pi}{2}}{\cosh \frac{\pi}{2}v}, \qquad \kappa(v) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-|k|}}{e^k + e^{-k}} e^{ikv} dk$$

free energy $\beta f = \beta e_0 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(v) \log[(1 + \mathfrak{a}(v - i))(1 + \overline{\mathfrak{a}}(v + i))] dv,$

AK, Batchelor 90; AK, Batchelor, Pearce 91; AK 92,93; Destri, de Vega 92,95; J. Suzuki 98, Hegedűs 05

general sl(N) etc./Bäcklund transformations: ...; Zabrodin 07; Arutyunov, Frolov 09; Tsuboi 11; Kazakov, Leurent, Tsuboi 12; Balog, Hegedűs 12



The spin current density

and its continuity equation

$$j_k = i \left(S_k^+ S_{k+1}^- - S_k^- S_{k+1}^+ \right) \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S_k^z = -(j_k - j_{k-1})$$

Total spin current $\mathcal{I} = \sum_k j_k$ is not conserved (except for $\Delta = 0$, free fermions)

 $[H, \mathcal{I}] \neq 0, \qquad D(T) \neq \beta \langle \mathcal{I}^2 \rangle.$



$$C(t) := \frac{1}{L} \langle \mathcal{J}(t) \mathcal{J} \rangle$$

$$\Delta = 0.6, T/J = 0.2$$

Sirker, Pereira, Affleck PRL09, PRB11 bosonization + memory matrix ($\rightarrow y$) (keeping umklapp + band curvature) TMRG (DMRG for transfer matrix)

1) Mazur inequality for general current \mathcal{I} and conserved Q ([Q,H] = 0)

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \langle \mathcal{I}(t) \mathcal{I} \rangle \geq \frac{\langle \mathcal{I} Q \rangle^2}{\langle Q^2 \rangle}$$

If current \mathcal{I} is not conserved, but conserved Q exists with nonvanishing overlap $\langle \mathcal{I}Q \rangle \neq 0 \longrightarrow$ finite Drude weight

For Heisenberg chain at zero field: all known conserved currents Q are spin-reversal symmetric, hence: $\langle \mathcal{I}Q \rangle = \langle R \mathcal{I}R^{-1}RQR^{-1} \rangle = -\langle \mathcal{I}Q \rangle = 0.$

2) However: Drude weight is related to energy level curvature with respect to twist

$$D = \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{n} p_n \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_n[\Phi]}{\partial \Phi^2} \Big|_{\Phi=0} \qquad \text{Kohn 1964,...}$$

T = 0: The groundstate value is analytically known (Shastry, Sutherland 90) $D_{s}(T = 0) = rac{v}{2(\pi - \gamma)}$ where $\Delta = \cos \gamma$. T > 0: TBA-like scheme by Fujimoto, Kawakami 98; application to *XXZ*: Zotos 98 Contents - p.10/25

Extended Thermodynamical Bethe ansatz



Thermodynamical Bethe ansatz applied to magnons and their bound states (Zotos 98)



Thermodynamical Bethe ansatz based on:

- magnons and their bound states
- scattering of these 'particles', construction of scattering states
- minimization of free energy functional

Problems for calculating energy curvatures for large but finite chain length:

- composition of bound magnon states (assumption in TBA: 'ideal strings')
- density distribution not continuous (but assumed in TBA)



Quantum Monte Carlo

TBA on spinon basis



Alvarez, Gros 02Benz, Fukui, AK, Scheeren (01, 05)finite chains: Heidrich-Meisner et al. 03; improved QMC Brenig, Grossjohann 10

Extended thermodynamical Bethe ansatz (non-linear integral equation for a and \bar{a}):

$$D = \frac{T}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{\left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial h} \cdot \frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\right)^2}{a^2 (1+a)^2 \frac{\partial a}{\partial T}} + (a \leftrightarrow \bar{a}), \quad \log a = +\frac{\beta h}{2} - \beta e + \kappa * [\log(1+a) - \log(1+\bar{a})]$$

Conformal perturbation theory





Comparison of spinon-TBA results to CFT

(+band curvature, umklapp) by Sirker (05,09)

However, real time dynamics by TMRG etc. suggest D(T) = 0 or small, for *XXZ* chain with h = 0 (Sirker et al. 09, 11); coexistence of diffusive and ballistic channels



free boson Hamiltonian+umklapp+band curvature (Sirker, Pereira, Affleck 09, 11) – correlations generically decay to zero, "protection" built in by hand (memory matrix) TMRG (transfer matrix/temperature DMRG)



optical sum rule imposes constraint on weight in ballistic and diffusive channels

QMC results by Alvarez + Gros consistent with zero/small Drude weight... if singular scaling at small frequencies is allowed... as suggested by field theoretical results



ED for odd chains L = 5..19, canonical ensemble (Herbrych, Prelovšek, Zotos PRB 11) \leftrightarrow (Karrasch, Hauschild, Langer, Heidrich-Meisner 13)



finite size scaling for high temperature

extrapolated results for arbitrary T

Results agree well with high-T asymptotics of TBA (Zotos 98, Benz et al. 05)

$$D \simeq rac{D_{\infty}}{T}, \qquad D_{\infty}(\Delta) = rac{\gamma - rac{1}{2}\sin 2\gamma}{16\gamma}, \quad \Delta = \cos\gamma,$$

Note: Problem with symmetry $D_{\infty}(\Delta) = D_{\infty}(-\Delta)$



T > 0 by purification of thermal ensemble (\sim sum over excited states by doubling the system and use of "open" boundary conditions)



symmetric treatment, larger available times

Karrasch, Bardarson, Moore PRL 12

Non-zero Drude weight for $\Delta = 1$ and T > 0!

Goal: Construction of conserved current with non-vanishing overlap with spin current Problem: the known conserved currents have vanishing overlap with physical current Idea: (i) Fishing in pool of operators odd w.r.t. spin reversal symmetry (ii) Start with the physical current operator for $\Delta = 0$ and turn Δ on

Perturbative construction of conserved Q:

(AK, Sakai 08, unpublished)

$$\begin{split} H &= \sum_{k=1}^{L} \left(c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k+1} + c_{k+1}^{\dagger} c_{k} \right) + 2\Delta \sum_{k=1}^{L} n_{k} n_{k+1}, \\ Q &= i \sum_{k=1}^{L} \left(c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k+1} - c_{k+1}^{\dagger} c_{k} \right) + 2i\Delta \sum_{k=1}^{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} (-1)^{l} c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k-l} c_{k+1}^{\dagger} c_{k+1-l}, \\ [H,Q] &= O(\Delta^{2}) \quad \text{instead of} \quad O(\Delta^{1}) \end{split}$$

Mazur inequality applied to Drude weight:

$$D \ge \frac{1}{2LT} \frac{\langle \mathcal{J}Q \rangle^2}{\langle Q^2 \rangle}.$$

But $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ scales like L^2 instead of *L*, *Q* is non-local. And $\langle \mathcal{I}Q \rangle$ scales like *L*.



Construction of conserved currents by "matrix product operators" (Prosen PRL 11): matrices with spin operators as entries

$$\mathbf{A}_j = \mathbf{A}^z \mathbf{\sigma}_j^z + \mathbf{A}^+ \mathbf{\sigma}_j^+ + \mathbf{A}^- \mathbf{\sigma}_j^-$$

and number matrices A^z, A^+, A^- of square shape (finite or infinite).

Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} be some row and column number vectors of suitable dimension, then $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathbf{A}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A}_2 \dots \mathbf{A}_L \cdot \mathcal{R}$ is an operator acting on the Heisenberg chain.

If $A^z, A^+, A^-, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$ satisfy algebra of quadratic and trilinear relations, then

 $[H, Z] = -\sigma_1^z + \sigma_L^z, \qquad Z \text{ is "almost conserved"}$

Take $Q := i(Z - Z^+)$ and show $\langle \mathcal{I}Q \rangle_{T=\infty} = (L - 1)/2$. Crucial: keeping $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ "small"

For $\Delta = \cos \gamma$, $\gamma = \pi \frac{l}{m}$ finite representations of matrix algebra $\mathbf{A}^z, \mathbf{A}^+, \mathbf{A}^-$ exist, such that $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ is order *L*.

quadratic algebra: Karevski, Popkov, Schütz 2013; continuous spin: Prosen et al. 2013

Mazur inequality and Matrix Product Operators

 $D\simeq rac{D_{\infty}}{T}, \quad D_{\infty}\geq D_z/4$

Result: Lower bound for high temperature asymptotics

0.6 0.5 0.4 D_Z 0.3 [0.370 0.365 0.360 0.2 0.355 0.350 0.1 0.345 0.540 0.545 0.550 0.555 0.560 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.8 0.0 0.6 1.0

T. Prosen 2011

Note:

- high-T asymptotics D_{∞} by TBA (Zotos 98, Benz et al. 05) larger than $\Delta_z/4$, but... P13
- lower bound satisfies $D_z(\Delta) = D_z(-\Delta)$
- lower bound fractal (\longrightarrow no perturbative construction of Q, physics fractal?)

Contents - p.19/25





Curvatures of energy levels: universal scaling? ($\Delta = 1/2$)



1/L-scaling at T=1.029



curvature depends on state! just in (wrong) rigid-string picture: all curvatures same • summation over all states necessary! • case T > 0 qualitatively different from T = 0! All microstates for T = 0 (low-lying excitations):

$$E_{x}(\phi) - E_{g.s.}(0) = \frac{2\pi}{L} vx(\phi) + o(1/L), \qquad x(\phi) = \frac{1 - \gamma/\pi}{2} S^{2} + \frac{1}{2(1 - \gamma/\pi)} \left(m - \frac{\phi}{\pi} \right)^{2}_{\text{Contents - p.20/2}}$$

Glocke, AK 02 unpublished

Problem of calculation by use of Bethe ansatz equations

bound states: single magnon rapidities close to poles of scattering phases (singular)
 continuous density distributions not sufficient

Alternative approach to Bethe ansatz: 'fusion algebra' (finite for $\Delta = \cos \frac{\pi}{v}$)

$$\begin{split} \log Y_{1}(v) &= L \log th \frac{\pi}{4}v + \sum_{\zeta_{2}} \log th \frac{\pi}{4}(v - \zeta_{2}) + s * \log(1 + Y_{2}) \\ \log Y_{j}(v) &= + \sum_{\zeta_{j-1}} \log th \frac{\pi}{4}(v - \zeta_{j-1}) + \sum_{\zeta_{j+1}} \log th \frac{\pi}{4}(v - \zeta_{j+1}) + s * \log[(1 + Y_{j-1})(1 + Y_{j+1})] \\ \log Y_{v-2}(v) &= + \sum_{\zeta_{v-3}} \log th \frac{\pi}{4}(v - \zeta_{v-3}) + \sum_{\zeta_{\pm}} \log th \frac{\pi}{4}(v - \zeta_{\pm}) + s * \log[(1 + Y_{v-3})(1 + Y_{+})(1 + Y_{-})] \\ \log Y_{\pm}(v) &= \pm i\phi + \sum_{\zeta_{v-2}} \log th \frac{\pi}{4}(v - \zeta_{v-2}) + s * \log(1 + Y_{v-2}) \quad (A. \text{ Kuniba, K. Sakai, J. Suzuki 98}) \end{split}$$

convolutions with $\mathbf{s}(v) := \frac{1}{4\cosh \pi v/2}$, energy $E = \sum_{\zeta_1} \frac{\pi/2}{\cosh \pi \zeta_1/2} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log(1+Y_1)(x)}{\sinh \pi x/2} dx$ dropping of all integrals \rightarrow Bethe ansatz equations for holes in bound magnon states



$$\frac{D}{d} = L^2 \int \frac{\rho_{\pm}^h(v)}{\partial_v \log Y_{\pm}(v+i)} \partial_v \left[\frac{\partial_\psi \log Y_{\pm}(v+i)}{\partial_v \log Y_{\pm}(v+i)} \right] dv + \frac{L}{2\pi i} \int \frac{Y_{\pm}(v)}{(1+Y_{\pm}(v))^2} \partial_\psi \log Y_{\pm}(v) dv$$

where d is some constant and

$$\log Y_{j}(v) = Lp(v) \cdot \delta_{j,1} + \sum_{l} A_{jl} p * L\rho_{l}^{h}(v) + \sum_{l} K_{jl} * \log(1+Y_{l})(v)$$

$$\partial_{v} \log Y_{j}(v) = L2\pi i s(v+i) \cdot \delta_{j,1} + 2\pi i \sum_{l} K_{jl} * L\rho_{l}^{h} + \sum_{l} K_{jl} * \frac{Y_{l}}{1+Y_{l}} \partial_{v} \log Y_{l}$$

$$\partial_{\psi} \log Y_{j}(v) = -s'(v+i) \cdot \delta_{j,1} + 2\pi i \sum_{l} K_{jl} * L\rho_{l}^{h} \frac{\partial_{\psi} \log Y_{l}}{\partial_{v} \log Y_{l}} + \sum_{l} K_{jl} * \frac{Y_{l}}{1+Y_{l}} \partial_{\psi} \log Y_{l}$$

where ρ_l^h are the density functions of the hole distribution of the state and

$$p(v) = \log th \frac{\pi}{4} v, \qquad s(v) = \frac{1}{4 \cosh \frac{\pi}{2} v}.$$



1st integral: integration by parts

$$\int \frac{\rho_{\pm}^{h}(v)}{\partial_{v}\log Y_{\pm}(v+i)} \partial_{v} \left[\frac{\partial_{\psi}\log Y_{\pm}(v+i)}{\partial_{v}\log Y_{\pm}(v+i)} \right] dv$$

$$= \left[\frac{\rho_{\pm}^{h}(v)}{\partial_{v}\log Y_{\pm}(v+i)} \frac{\partial_{\psi}\log Y_{\pm}(v+i)}{\partial_{v}\log Y_{\pm}(v+i)} \right] \bigg|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int \partial_{v} \left[\frac{\rho_{\pm}^{h}(v)}{\partial_{v}\log Y_{\pm}(v+i)} \right] \frac{\partial_{\psi}\log Y_{\pm}(v+i)}{\partial_{v}\log Y_{\pm}(v+i)} dv$$

2nd integral: exact evaluation

$$\int \frac{Y_{\pm}(v)}{(1+Y_{\pm}(v))^2} \partial_{\psi} \log Y_{\pm}(v) dv = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_{\pm}(v)}{(1+Y_{\pm}(v))^2} \partial_{v} \log Y_{\pm}(v) \underbrace{\frac{\partial_{\psi} \log Y_{\pm}(v)}{\partial_{v} \log Y_{\pm}(v)}}_{\to R} dv$$

$$= 2R \cdot \int_{Y_{\pm}(0)}^{Y_{\pm}(\infty)} \frac{dY}{(1+Y)^2} = -2R \frac{1}{1+Y} \Big|_{Y_{\pm}(0)}^{Y_{\pm}(\infty)}$$

Surprise: surface term of 1st integral and 2nd integral cancel exactly, remaining term yields exactly Zotos' formula!





Summary



Spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain for T > 0 and arbitrary hExact results obtained for

• thermal conductivity (h = 0)

Open problems

• spin Drude weight